Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/642,766	WENG, CHUAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John R. Hardee	1751
All Participants:	Status of Application:	Restricted
(1) <u>John R. Hardee</u> .	(3)	
(2) Atty. Pryor.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 January 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief su 	on. The examiner will provide a variate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
	•	
- A A		
Deede		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	olicant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims will be restricted to mixtures of A, B, D, E and G as defined in the specification on pages 10-11. Claims are to be construed as reciting that exactly one of each category of refrigerant is present. In exchange for broadening the search to this extent, applicant admits on the record that substitution of one refrigerant A for any other would be obvious, likewise for refrigerants B, and for refrigerants D.